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## Curve-shortening flow

We have a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ embedded in a space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
A classical deterministic problem is the curve-shortening flow:

$$
u:[0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow M, \quad \partial_{t} u^{\alpha}=\partial_{x}^{2} u^{\alpha}+\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}(u) \partial_{x} u^{\beta} \partial_{x} u^{\gamma},
$$

where $\Gamma$ denotes the Christoffel symbols for the Levi-Civita connection associated with the metric $g_{\alpha \beta}$ and we use Einstein's convention of summation over repeated indices.

All we need to know:

- $\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth,
- $\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}=\Gamma_{\gamma \beta}^{\alpha}$,
- $\Gamma$ can be written explicitly as a function of $g$.


## Noisy version

For $\left(\xi_{i}\right)_{i}$ a sequence of independent space-time white noises on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^{1}$, we want to study

$$
\partial_{t} u^{\alpha}=\partial_{x}^{2} u^{\alpha}+\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}(u) \partial_{x} u^{\beta} \partial_{x} u^{\gamma}+h^{\alpha}(u)+\sigma_{i}^{\alpha}(u) \xi_{i}
$$

where $h^{\alpha}, \sigma_{i}^{\alpha}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}(\alpha=1, \ldots, d, i=1, \ldots, m)$ are suitable vector fields (tangent to the manifold $M$ ) such that

$$
\sigma_{i}^{\alpha}(u) \sigma_{i}^{\beta}(u)=g^{\alpha \beta}(u)
$$

and $g^{\alpha \beta}$ is the inverse metric tensor.
In the case of $\left(\xi_{i}\right)_{i}$ a sequence of independent noises which are white in time but coloured in space, this was studied by Funaki [JFA92]. In this setting we have a standard Stratonovich formulation of the stochastic integral and the solution is smooth in $x$.

## Noisy version

It the $\left(\xi_{i}\right)_{i}$ 's are space-time white noises in

$$
\partial_{t} u^{\alpha}=\partial_{x}^{2} u^{\alpha}+\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}(u) \partial_{x} u^{\beta} \partial_{x} u^{\gamma}+h^{\alpha}(u)+\sigma_{i}^{\alpha}(u) \xi_{i},
$$

the usual problems of singular SPDEs arise: the solution $u$ is expected to be no better than Hölder in space, so that the derivative $\partial_{x} u$ is a distribution and the above product is ill-defined.

This is a generalised KPZ equation.

## Regularisation

Let us fix a space-time mollifier $\varrho$ (compactly supported, integrating to 1 , and such that $\varrho(t,-x)=\varrho(t, x))$ and let $\varrho_{\varepsilon}(t, x)=\varepsilon^{-3} \varrho\left(t / \varepsilon^{2}, x / \varepsilon\right), \xi_{i}^{(\varepsilon)}=\varrho_{\varepsilon} * \xi_{i}$.

We can then study the regularised equation

$$
\partial_{t} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}=\partial_{x}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}+\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}^{\gamma}+h^{\alpha}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)+\sigma_{i}^{\alpha}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \xi_{i}^{(\varepsilon)},
$$

and now everything is smooth. (Since $h$ and $\sigma_{i}$ are tangent to the manifold $M$, then $u_{\varepsilon} \in M$.)
The question is of course: what happens when $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ ?
In analogy with KPZ (and all singular SPDEs) one expects that it is necessary to renormalise this equation:

$$
\partial_{t} \hat{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}=\partial_{x}^{2} \hat{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}+\left(\partial_{x} \hat{v}_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}\right)^{2}-C_{\varepsilon}+\xi_{i}^{(\varepsilon)}
$$

## Convergence

Theorem
As $\varepsilon \downarrow 0, u_{\varepsilon}$ converges in a suitable Hölder space.

There is no need to renormalise!
Is this the end of the story?

## Convergence

## Theorem

As $\varepsilon \downarrow 0, u_{\varepsilon}$ converges in a suitable Hölder space.

There is no need to renormalise!
Is this the end of the story? Of course it is not...
The limit is not universal, it depends on the choice of mollifier $\varrho$ (and also of the embedding of the manifold $M$ ).

This seems to be a very large space of parameters...

## Let us take a step back

Let us consider this equation with values in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$

$$
\partial_{t} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}=\partial_{x}^{2} u_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}+\Gamma_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \partial_{x} u_{\varepsilon}^{\gamma}+h^{\alpha}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)+\sigma_{i}^{\alpha}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \xi_{i}^{(\varepsilon)},
$$

with generic smooth coefficient (there is no manifold anymore). Let $u_{\varepsilon}:=U_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma, h, \sigma)$.
The theory of regularity structures yields the following result:

## Theorem

There is a smooth function $H_{\Gamma, \sigma}$ such that for any mollifier $\varrho$, there exist unique choice of $\bar{c} \in \mathbf{R}_{+}$and of a smooth coefficient $F$, as well as a choice of $\hat{c} \in \mathbf{R}$, such that for all $b \in \mathbf{R}$

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} U_{\varepsilon}\left(\Gamma, \sigma, h-\frac{\bar{c}}{\varepsilon} \nabla_{\sigma_{i}} \sigma_{i}+H_{\Gamma, \sigma}(b+\hat{c}+\log \varepsilon)+F\right)=U^{b}(\Gamma, \sigma, h),
$$

for some limit $U^{b}$ independent of $\varrho$. Moreover $F \in V$ with $\operatorname{dim}(V)=14$.

## Equivariance

## Theorem

The solution family $U^{b}(\Gamma, \sigma, h)$ has the following property: for any diffeomorphism $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{d}$ we have

$$
\varphi \cdot U^{b}(\Gamma, \sigma, h)=U^{b}(\varphi \cdot \Gamma, \varphi \cdot \sigma, \varphi \cdot h)
$$

Moreover we have the Itô isometry

$$
U^{b}(\Gamma, \sigma, h)=U^{b}(\Gamma, \bar{\sigma}, h),
$$

for every $\bar{\sigma}$ such that $\bar{\sigma}_{i}^{\alpha} \bar{\sigma}_{i}^{\beta}=\sigma_{i}^{\alpha} \sigma_{i}^{\beta}$.
For SDEs one can not have both the above properties simultaneously.

## Back to the geometric case

In many cases of interest, one can have

$$
\nabla_{\sigma_{i}} \sigma_{i}=0, \quad H_{\Gamma, \sigma}=0,
$$

and therefore we obtain $U^{b}=U^{0}$ for all $b \in \mathbf{R}$, namely there is a single notion of solution.
This should be equal to a Markov process that can be constructed by Dirichlet form methods (see classical works by Driver in the 90 's, and recent work by Röckner-Wu-Zhu-Zhu).

