

Equilibrium Fluctuations in Maximally Noisy Extended Quantum Systems

MB, Denis Bernard, Tony Jin

Based on [arXiv:1811.09427](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.09427)

Inhomogeneous Random Systems, IHP, January 22-23, 2019



Plan

- 1 Motivations
- 2 Back to the model
- 3 Conclusions



Motivations

Motivations

- What would a quantum macroscopic fluctuation theory look like ?
- Go beyond (statistical) average behavior in quantum systems subject to statistical noise.
 - Two sources of statistical noise
 - Via monitoring, information read-out and random back-action (Quantum trajectories)
 - Via coupling to environments/baths/reservoirs

Prototype: $U_{t+dt} = e^{-idH_t} U_t \quad dH_t = H_0 dt + \sum_{\alpha} L_{\alpha} dB_t^{\alpha}$

- Needs to go beyond the Lindblad formalism
- Goal: study in detail a simple specific model

The model

- A 1d chain of fermions, with hopping triggered by external noise (with periodic boundary conditions)
- Unitary but random evolution

Hamiltonian:
$$dH_t = \sqrt{D} \sum_{j=1}^L \left(c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j dW_t^j + c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} d\bar{W}_t^j \right)$$

Evolution operator:
$$U_{t+dt} = e^{-idH_t} U_t \text{ (It\bar{o} convention)}$$

Brownians:
$$dW_t^j d\bar{W}_t^k = \delta^{jk} \quad dW_t^j dW_t^k = d\bar{W}_t^j d\bar{W}_t^k = 0$$

Fermions:
$$\{c_j, c_k^\dagger\} = \delta_{jk} \quad \{c_j, c_k\} = \{c_j^\dagger, c_k^\dagger\} = 0$$

- Beware : c_j^\dagger, c_j live at site j , but $dW_t^j, d\bar{W}_t^j$ live on the edge $(j, j+1)$.

Origin of the model (I)

- Classical macroscopic fluctuation theory equations

$$\partial_t n(x, t) + \partial_x j(x, t) = 0$$

$$j(x, t) = -D(n)\partial_x n(x, t) + \sqrt{L^{-1}\sigma(n)} \xi(x, t)$$

are hard to quantize (no time derivative of j)

- So replace them with dissipative equations

$$\partial_t n + \partial_x j = 0$$

$$\tau_f \partial_t j = -D(n)\partial_x n - \eta j + \sqrt{\eta L^{-1}\sigma(n)} \xi$$

with $\eta \rightarrow +\infty$ and rescaling of t and j

- Discretize space and look at quantum dissipative $1d$ systems

Origin of the model (II)

- Simple example¹: XX model with dissipation

$$dH_t = dH_t^{\text{xx}} + dH_t^{\text{noise}}$$

$$= 2\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^L \left(c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j + c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} \right) dt + 2\sqrt{\eta\nu_f} \sum_{j=1}^L c_j^\dagger c_j dB_t^j$$

- Notice B_t^j lives at site j
- $n_j := c_j^\dagger c_j$ (occupation number) and $J_j := 2i\varepsilon(c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j - c_j^\dagger c_{j+1})$ (current) satisfy

$$dn_j = (J_{j-1} - J_j) dt \quad dJ_j = -4\eta\nu_f J_j + \text{somewhat an analog of above}$$

- Take the large η limit (and then the continuum limit)
- At large η , only states invariant under the rotations induced by the $U(1)$ generators $n_j dB_t^j$ survive.

¹For more complicated ones, see SciPost Phys. 3, 033 (2017))

Origin of the model (III)

- Effective (slow) dynamics on the invariant states: interaction representation
 - Easy because noises commute

$$\begin{aligned} dH_t^{\text{eff}} &= e^{i2\sqrt{\eta}\nu_f \sum_{j=1}^L c_j^\dagger c_j B_t^j} dH_t^{\text{xy}} e^{-i2\sqrt{\eta}\nu_f \sum_{j=1}^L c_j^\dagger c_j B_t^j} \\ &= 2\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^L \left(c_{j+1}^\dagger c_j dW_t^j(\eta) + c_j^\dagger c_{j+1} d\overline{W}_t^j(\eta) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$dW_t^j(\eta) := e^{i2\sqrt{\eta}\nu_f(B_t^{j+1}-B_t^j)} dt \quad d\overline{W}_t^j(\eta) := e^{-i2\sqrt{\eta}\nu_f(B_t^{j+1}-B_t^j)} dt$$

- Brownian transmutation (related to the **Wong-Zakai** theorem):
at large η with rescaled time $s \propto t/\eta$ (slow dynamics)

$$W_t^j(\eta) \rightarrow W_s^j \quad \overline{W}_t^j(\eta) \rightarrow \overline{W}_s^j \text{ indep. complex Brownian motions}$$

- Our, incoherently diffusive, model of interest is retrieved.
 - In this context only meaningful on the invariant states

Back to the model

Fermion bilinears

- We study the statistical fluctuations of

$$(G_t)_{ij} = \text{Tr}(\rho_t c_j^\dagger c_i)$$

- G_t still depends on the external noise
- Enough to study the one particle sector in general
- Enough to compute everything in special cases
 - Special class of density matrices preserved by the evolution

$$\rho_t = Z_t^{-1} \exp(c^\dagger M_t c), \quad Z_t =: \text{Tr} \exp(c^\dagger M_t c) = \det(1 + e^{M_t})$$

- Matrix M_t can be retrieved from G_t

$$G_t = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-M_t}}$$

- General correlation functions via Wick's theorem
- Even simpler, completely solvable case (left as an exercise):
joint statistical fluctuations of

$$(C_t)_i := \text{Tr}(\rho_t c_i) \quad (\bar{C}_t)_j := \text{Tr}(\rho_t c_j^\dagger)$$

Goals

- Solving the equations of motion...

$$dG_{i,j} = -2D G_{i,j}dt + D \delta_{i,j}(G_{i+1,j+1} + G_{i-1,j-1})dt + i\sqrt{D} \left(G_{i,j-1}d\bar{W}^{j-1} + G_{i,j+1}dW^j - G_{i-1,j}dW^{i-1} - G_{i+1,j}d\bar{W}^i \right)$$

- Diffusion along the diagonal lead to contact terms proportional to dt

... is too difficult

- Average large time stationary regime...

$$0 = -2D G_{i,j} + D \delta_{i,j}(G_{i+1,j+1} + G_{i-1,j-1})$$

... is trivial : $G \propto Id$

- Higher moments of G in a stationary regime...

- Do the first, second, third, fourth moment
- Express the results in terms of $N_k := \text{tr } G_t^k$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4...$ which are time-independent because evolution is unitary
- Prove results for arbitrary moments

... are accessible, and (hopefully) interesting

Diagrammatic representation

- Write $\mathbb{E}_\infty[\dots]$ for the average in the stationary regime

- Represent G_{ij} by $\overset{i}{\bullet} \rightarrow \overset{j}{\bullet}$

- For instance:

$$G_{ij} \Leftrightarrow \overset{i}{\bullet} \rightarrow \overset{j}{\bullet} \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

$$G_{ij}G_{jk} \Leftrightarrow \overset{i}{\bullet} \rightarrow \overset{j}{\bullet} \rightarrow \overset{k}{\bullet} \quad \text{for } i \neq j \neq k \neq i$$

$$G_{ij}G_{ji} \Leftrightarrow \overset{i}{\bullet} \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \\ \leftarrow \end{array} \overset{j}{\bullet} \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

- Work out on examples (and prove later in general) that
 - $\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{Graph}]$ vanishes unless the *in* and *out* degrees are the same at each vertex (Eulerian graphs).
 - $\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{Graph}]$ does not depend on the vertex labels (as long as they are distinct for distinct vertices), i.e. correlators are topological
 - Remove vertex labels from the notation

First and second moment

- First moment

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{lightbulb}] = \frac{N_1}{L}$$

- Second moment

- Use that $N_1 := \text{tr } G_t$, $N_2 := \text{tr } G_t^2$ are conserved quantities

$$N_1 = L \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{lightbulb}] \quad N_2 = L(L-1) \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{two lightbulbs}] + L \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{two circles}]$$

- Impose vanishing of contact terms

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{two circles}] = \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{two lightbulbs}] + \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{circle with two dots}]$$

- Solve to get

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{two circles}] = \frac{N_1^2 + N_2}{L(L+1)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{two lightbulbs}] = \frac{LN_1^2 - N_2}{L(L^2 - 1)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{circle with two dots}] = \frac{LN_2 - N_1^2}{L(L^2 - 1)}$$

Third moment

- Three conservation laws, plus three contact relations, lead to

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \left[\text{Diagram 1} \right] = \frac{2N_3 + N_1^3 + 3N_2N_1}{L(L+1)(L+2)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \left[\text{Diagram 2} \right] = \frac{-2N_3 + (L+1)N_1^3 + (L-1)N_1N_2}{(L-1)L(L+1)(L+2)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \left[\text{Diagram 3} \right] = \frac{4N_3 + (L^2 - 2)N_1^3 - 3LN_1N_2}{(L-2)(L-1)L(L+1)(L+2)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \left[\text{Diagram 4} \right] = \frac{LN_3 + (L-1)N_1N_2 - N_1^3}{(L-1)L(L+1)(L+2)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \left[\text{Diagram 5} \right] = \frac{(L^2 + 2)N_1N_2 - L(2N_3 + N_1^3)}{(L-2)(L-1)L(L+1)(L+2)}$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \left[\text{Diagram 6} \right] = \frac{N_3L^2 - 3LN_1N_2 + 2N_1^3}{(L-2)(L-1)L(L+1)(L+2)}$$

Unitary invariance (I)

- Introduce spectator matrix A , with A_d diagonal part of A and check

- Second moments

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\infty[(\text{tr } AG)^2] &= \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{diagram 1}] (\text{tr } A)^2 + \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{diagram 2}] \text{tr } A^2 \\ &+ \left(\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{diagram 3}] - \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{diagram 1}] - \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{diagram 2}] \right) \text{tr } A_d^2 \end{aligned}$$

- This computation does not use the explicit values of the correlators, just that they are topological
- The coefficient of the non unitary invariant $\text{tr } A_d^2$ vanishes because of the contact relation
- Third moments
 - The coefficient of the non unitary invariant contributions vanish because of the contact relations
- We prove in general that at large time there is convergence to a $\mathbb{E}_\infty[\dots]$ that is unitary invariant

Unitary invariance (II)

- Evolution preserves total fermion number
 - Restrict to the 1 fermion sector
 - Study the evolution $V_{t+dt} = e^{-idh_t} V_t$ on $SU(L)$ where

$$dh_t = \sqrt{D} \sum_j (E_{j+1,j} dW_t^j + E_{j,j+1} d\bar{W}_t^j)$$

with $E_{i,j}$ usual elementary matrices

- “Proof” that stationary measures are $SU(L)$ invariant
 - The $E_{j+1,j}$ and $E_{j,j+1}$ generate $\mathfrak{su}(L) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{sl}(L)$ (★)
 - An invariant measure must be invariant under the corresponding transformations, hence under all of $\mathfrak{su}(L)$, i.e. must be Haar QED
 - This intuitive argument can be turned into a rigorous proof
- “Proof” of large time convergence towards Haar
 - Either via Hörmander’s theorem (relies on (★)) plus a variant of Doeblin’s theory ...
 - ... or the existence of a spectral gap for elliptic operators QED
- (★) means maximal noise in the 1 fermion sector
 - To be contrasted with maximal noise in the full Fock space

Unitary invariance (III)

- Possible generalizations of these arguments when

$$dH_t \rightarrow H_0 dt + dH_t$$

- Works smoothly for H_0 a spatially inhomogeneous chemical potential
- Preliminary weak coupling computations suggest that more robustness, even when there is no 1 fermion dynamics anymore
- This hints at a possible large degree of **universality** for the infinite time statistics of G .

Unitary invariance (IV)

- Unitary invariance means that $Z(A) := \mathbb{E}_\infty[e^{\text{tr} AG}]$ satisfies

$$Z(A) = Z(VAV^\dagger) \text{ for } V \in U(L)$$

- If $d\eta$ denotes the normalized Haar measure on $U(L)$

$$\begin{aligned} Z(A) &= \mathbb{E}_\infty[e^{\text{tr} AG}] \\ &= \int_{U(L)} d\eta(V) \mathbb{E}_\infty[e^{\text{tr} VAV^\dagger G}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\infty \left[\int_{U(L)} d\eta(V) e^{\text{tr} AV^\dagger GV} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\infty \left[\int_{U(L)} d\eta(V) e^{\text{tr} AV^\dagger G_{t=0} V} \right] \\ &= \int_{U(L)} d\eta(V) e^{\text{tr} AV^\dagger G_{t=0} V} \end{aligned}$$

HarishChandra-Itzykson-Zuber integral

- If $a_i, g_j, i, j = 1, \dots, L$ denote the eigenvalues of A and $G_{t=0}$

$$Z(A) = \int_{U(L)} d\eta(V) e^{\text{tr} AV^\dagger G_{t=0} V} = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{L-1} k! \right) \frac{\det (e^{a_i g_j})_{i,j=1}^L}{\Delta(a)\Delta(g)}$$

- This is in principle a complete, non perturbative, description of the correlations in the stationary distributions...
 - Perturbative expansion in terms of Young diagrams and characters matches
- ... but there is a denominator whose 0s are canceled by 0s of the numerator !
- For example, take A to be diagonal with only one nonzero matrix element so that $Z(A)$ describes the statistics of the fermion number at a single site (see later)

Extensive scaling limit

- Large L scaling limit, $G_{t=0}$ such that each $N_k := \text{tr } G_{t=0}^k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ scales like $\sim L$.
 - Arises for instance when $\rho_{t=0}$ describes independent sites with one site density matrix diagonal in the fermion number basis.
 - There is a concentration phenomenon : $G_{t=\infty}$ is non random $G_{t=\infty} = G_{eq} = \rho_1 \text{Id}$
 - ... where we set $\rho_k := \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_k}{L}$.
 - There are interesting corrections:
 - For instance $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} L \mathbb{E}_\infty \left[\text{cycle of length } k \right] = \rho_2 - \rho_1^2$: for a **factorized diagonal** but **inhomogeneous** density matrix at $t = 0$, the **coherences** at $t = \infty$ have **non-trivial fluctuations**
 - There is a large deviation function (and principle) $w(A) := \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \log Z(LA)$

Conjecture:
$$w(A) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{k} \left(\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{cycle of length } k] \right) \text{tr } A^k$$

$$w(A) = \rho_1 \text{tr } A + \frac{1}{2}(\rho_2 - \rho_1^2) \text{tr } A^2 + \frac{1}{3}(\rho_3 - 3\rho_2\rho_1 + 2\rho_1^3) \text{tr } A^3 + \dots$$

Other explicit results via invariant theory

- One-site fermion number fluctuations

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \left[\langle c_i^\dagger c_i \rangle^n \right] = \frac{n!(L-1)!}{(L+n-1)!} \sum_{n_k, \sum_{k \geq 1} kn_k = n} \prod_k \frac{1}{n_k!} \left(\frac{N_k}{k} \right)^{n_k}$$

- Relation with cumulant formulæ
- Large L scaling limit, $G_{t=0}$ such that each $N_k := \text{tr } G_{t=0}^k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ scales like $\sim L^k$.
 - Arises for instance when $\rho_{t=0}$ describes independent sites with one site density matrix non-diagonal in the fermion number basis.

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty \left[e^{\text{tr } AG} \right] = e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{N_k \text{tr } A^k}{kL^k}} + o(L^0)$$

- Can be crosschecked against one-site fermion number fluctuations

Invariant theory and contact terms (I)

- The relevant invariant theory is that of invariants in the n^{th} symmetric power of the adjoint representation of $U(L)$ because G leaves in the adjoint representation²
- Obtained from the invariant theory of the n^{th} tensor power of the adjoint representation of $U(L)$
 - There are $n!$ fundamental invariants indexed by permutations

$$I_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_n j_n}^\sigma := \delta_{i_{\sigma(1)} j_1} \cdots \delta_{i_{\sigma(n)} j_n}$$

- A most important object is the matrix $\left(L^{c(\sigma\tau^{-1})} \right)_{\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n}$ where $c(\sigma)$ denotes the number of cycles in the cycle decomposition of σ
 - It gives a natural metric on the space of invariants
 - It simplifies at large $L \Rightarrow$ Large L limits
 - It satisfies two remarkable sum rules \Rightarrow One-site fermion number fluctuations

²Case of $(C_t)_i := \text{Tr}(\rho_t c_i)$ and $(\overline{C}_t)_j := \text{Tr}(\rho_t c_j^\dagger)$: only one invariant remains

Invariant theory and contact terms (II)

- Recall graphical notation $G_{ij} \Leftrightarrow \bullet \xrightarrow{i} \bullet \xrightarrow{j} \bullet$
- If Γ is any Eulerian graph, it follows from invariant theory that

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[\Gamma] = \sum_{\gamma} m_{\Gamma|\gamma} \mathbb{E}_\infty[\gamma]$$

where

- The γ s are graphs whose connected components are all cycles
- The decomposition is unique
- The $m_{\Gamma|\gamma}$ s are multiplicities (non-negative integers): $m_{\Gamma|\gamma}$ is the number of times γ arises when the vertices of Γ are blown-up in simple (one *in* one *out* edge) vertices in every possible way
- Example: $\mathbb{E}_\infty[\infty] = \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{two vertices}] + \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{one vertex}]$

Invariant theory and contact terms (III)

- More complicated example: decomposition of the sumtori

The diagram shows the decomposition of a sumtorus into simpler components. On the left, a sumtorus is represented by a square with four vertices, each having two incoming and two outgoing edges forming a cycle. This is enclosed in large square brackets and labeled E_∞ . This is equal to the sum of four terms, each enclosed in large square brackets and labeled with a coefficient and E_∞ :

- $3 E_\infty$ times a diagram of a circle with 8 vertices and directed edges forming a single cycle.
- $+ 2 E_\infty$ times a diagram of a circle with 6 vertices and directed edges forming a cycle, followed by a separate pair of vertices with two directed edges between them.
- $+ 2 E_\infty$ times a diagram of two separate circles, each with 4 vertices and directed edges forming a cycle.
- $+ 1 E_\infty$ times a diagram of a circle with 4 vertices and directed edges forming a cycle, followed by two separate pairs of vertices, each with two directed edges between them.

- Simple sum rule: each $n = n_{in} = n_{out}$ vertex can be blown up in $n!$ ways

$$3 + 2 + 2 + 1 = 8 = (2!)^3$$

Invariant theory and contact terms (IV)

- The number of contact relations, unitary invariance constraints, and cycle decompositions are all the same
- Going from one set to the other requires a change of basis
- Examples:
 - Second moments contact relation

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{figure-eight}] = \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{two circles}] + \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{figure-eight with arrows}]$$

- Third moments contact relations

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{figure-eight with arrows}] = \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{circle with two circles}] + \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{circle with three circles}]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{figure-eight with two circles}] = \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{three circles}] + \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{circle with two circles}]$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{figure-eight with three circles}] = \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{figure-eight with two circles}] + 2\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{circle with two circles}]$$

- The last relation is a combination of the first two and the cycle decomposition

$$\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{figure-eight with three circles}] = 2\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{circle with two circles}] + 3\mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{circle with two circles}] + \mathbb{E}_\infty[\text{three circles}]$$

Conclusions

Conclusions

- We studied a simple model of diffusion triggered by external noise
 - The model is kind of a paradigm
 - It has roots as effective dynamics in a class of strongly dissipative models
- The large time behavior of the statistical fluctuations of an important class of quantum averages can be studied in detail
 - Stationary fluctuations have topological nature
 - Perturbative computations
 - Explicit description of the invariant measure (Haar) with the HarishChandra-Itzykson-Zuber formula as a non-perturbative generating function of fluctuations
 - Several large size scaling limits
 - Non-trivial coherences fluctuations, large deviation principle
 - Deep connections with classical representation/invariant theory
 - Access to some finite size observables

Perspectives

- Explore further the universality issue
- Look at open boundary conditions with injection/extraction
 - Find injection/extraction terms that do not kill coherences
- Replace classical (Brownian) noises by quantum noises
- Find a natural physical interpretation of statistical fluctuations
 - Naively, if the noise is not controlled
 - Only joint quantum/statistical averages are accessible
 - Higher statistical moments of quantum averages are out of reach