Selected topics on the dynamics of quantum walkers

Jean-Marc Luck

Institut de Physique Théorique (Université Paris-Saclay, CEA & CNRS)

Based on work in collaboration with Paul Krapivsky and Kirone Mallick

Inhomogeneous Random Systems, IHP, Paris, January 22–23, 2019

Prelude

Quantum walks have become popular lately...

• In quantum computing *(mostly in discrete time)*

The natural advanced tool for building quantum algorithms The Turing machine of the quantum world Review by S. E. Venegas-Andraca (2012)

• In Physics (mostly in continuous time)

The simplest of all quantum-mechanical dynamical systems Related to experiments manipulating coherent quantum states e.g. cold-atom systems or photonic devices

The simplest of all quantum walks

A tight-binding particle hopping on a 1D lattice (in continuous time)



Many properties have been investigated in many situations

- I. Elementary properties (Form of the wavefunction)
- II. One-body effects (Effect of absorption)
- III. Many-body effects (Bound-state dynamics)

This talk will roughly follow the above setup

I. Form of the wavefunction

Assume the particle is launched from the origin

 $i \frac{d\psi_n(t)}{dt} = \psi_{n+1}(t) + \psi_{n-1}(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \psi_n(0) = \delta_{n0}$

Then

$$\Psi_{n}(t) = \int \frac{dq}{2\pi} e^{inq - 2it\cos q} = i^{-n} J_{n}(2t) \quad \text{(Bessel functions)}$$

$$\int_{0.025}^{0.025} \underbrace{0.01}_{0.005} \underbrace{0}_{-150}^{0.015} \underbrace{0}_{-100}^{0.015} \underbrace{0}_{-50}^{0.015} \underbrace{0}_{0}^{0.015} \underbrace{0}_{-100}^{0.015} \underbrace{0}_{-50}^{0.015} \underbrace{0}_{0}^{0.015} \underbrace{0}_{-100}^{0.015} \underbrace{0}_{-50}^{0.015} \underbrace{0}_{$$

Ballistic spreading

• Ballistic growth of moments

$$\langle n^2 \rangle = 2t^2, \qquad \langle n^4 \rangle = 6t^4 + 2t^2$$

• Marginal recurrence (dimension of trajectory = space dimension = 1)

$$T_0(t) = \int_0^t \left| \Psi_0(t') \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t' \approx \frac{\ln t}{2\pi}$$

• Dispersion relation $\omega(q) = 2\cos q$, $v(q) = d\omega(q)/dq = -2\sin q$

Maximum of v(q) yields spreading velocity V = 2

* Allowed region
$$(|n| < 2t)$$

 $|\psi_n(t)|^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{4t^2 - n^2}}$

* Ballistic peaks $(n \approx \pm Vt \approx 2t)$ $|n| = 2t + zt^{1/3}$ $\psi_n(t) \approx i^{-n}t^{-1/3}Ai(z)$ (Airy function)

A measurable consequence: *Bifractality*

Dynamical IPRs (inverse participation ratios)





- Normal scaling (k < 2) due to allowed region
- Anomalous scaling (k > 2) due to ballistic peaks
- Usual IPR (k=2) has logarithmic correction: $I_2(t) \approx \frac{\ln t}{2\pi^2 t}$

Bifractality persists in the presence of weak diagonal disorder

$$i \frac{\mathrm{d}\psi_n(t)}{\mathrm{d}t} = \psi_{n+1}(t) + \psi_{n-1}(t) + V_n \psi_n(t)$$
$$\langle V_n \rangle = 0, \qquad \langle V_m V_m \rangle = w^2 \delta_{mn} \qquad (w \ll 1)$$

• Very same bifractal scaling for asymptotic IPRs $(t \gg \xi_0 \sim 1/w^2)$ $I_k(\infty) \sim w^{2\tau(k)}$

Due to anomalous band-edge scaling (Halperin, Derrida-Gardner)

• Scaling law in crossover regime

 $I_k(t) \approx t^{-\tau(k)} F_k(w^2 t)$

S. de Toro Arias and JML (1998)

II. Effect of absorption

Warming up: a single trap at the origin



 \bullet Trap modelled as optical potential of strength γ

 $i\frac{d\psi_n(t)}{dt} = \psi_{n+1}(t) + \psi_{n-1}(t) - i\gamma\delta_{n0}\psi_n(t) \quad \text{with} \quad \psi_n(0) = \delta_{na}$

- Non-unitary evolution
- Survival probability

$$\Pi(t) = \sum_{n} |\Psi_{n}(t)|^{2} = 1 - 2\gamma \int_{0}^{t} |\Psi_{0}(t')|^{2} dt'$$

Non-trivial asymptotic survival (i.e., escape) probability

$$\Pi_{\infty} = 1 - 2\gamma \int_0^\infty \left| \psi_0(t) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t > 0$$

• Purely quantum effect

In classical case (2D Brownian particle): $\Pi(t) \approx \frac{2\pi a^2}{\ln t}$

• Explicit expression as a function of initial distance a

(Green's function techniques)

$$\Pi_{\infty} = 1 - \frac{4\gamma}{\pi} \left[\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\sin\theta \, d\theta}{(\gamma + 2\sin\theta)^2} + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sinh\theta \, d\theta}{\gamma^2 + 4\sinh^2\theta} \, e^{-2a\theta} \right]$$

Features of asymptotic survival (i.e., escape) probability



A finite concentration of traps

$$i \frac{d\psi_n(t)}{dt} = \psi_{n+1}(t) + \psi_{n-1}(t) - i\gamma \varepsilon_n \psi_n(t)$$
$$\varepsilon_n = \begin{cases} 1 & (\text{trap}) & \text{with prob.} & c \\ 0 & (\text{no trap}) & \text{with prob.} & 1 - c \end{cases}$$

Decay of mean survival probability $\Pi(t)$?

Parris, Edwards & Parris (1989) KLM (2014)

Approach à la Lifshitz



• Stationary problem

 $\psi_n(t) = (A e^{inq} + B e^{-inq}) e^{-iEt}$ $E = 2\cos q \quad (E \text{ and } q \text{ complex})$

- Boundary conditions: $\Psi_{-1} = Y_L \Psi_0$, $\Psi_{N+1} = Y_R \Psi_N$
- Lowest mode of large cluster

$$q_1 = \frac{\pi}{N} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{N} + \cdots \right) \qquad \alpha = \frac{1}{Y_L - 1} + \frac{1}{Y_R - 1}$$

• Decay rate

$$\lambda = -2 \operatorname{Im} E_1 \approx \frac{4\pi^2}{N^3} \operatorname{Im} \alpha$$

scales as $1/N^3$ and fluctuates (i.e., depends on b.c.)

How is $\operatorname{Im} \alpha$ distributed ?

Clue from transfer-matrix approach to 1D disordered systems:

Consider Riccati variables $Y_n = \frac{\Psi_n}{\Psi_{n+1}}$ at band edge (E = 2)

- Obey random recursion $Y_n = \frac{1}{2 + i\gamma\epsilon_n Y_{n-1}}$
- Have invariant distribution whose support is complex fractal



• Boundary parameters Y_L and Y_R are independent and drawn from the above law

To conclude

• Large cluster $(N \gg 1)$ has probability $(1 - c)^N$ and **optimal** rate $\frac{8\pi^2}{N^3} \frac{f(\gamma)}{\gamma}$

 $f(\mathbf{\gamma}) = 2\mathbf{\gamma} \min \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{\alpha}$

Minimum taken over fractal invariant set

• Average survival probability on clusters of size N

$$\Pi(t) \sim \sum_{N} \exp\left(-\frac{8\pi^2}{N^3} \frac{f(\gamma)}{\gamma} t - |\ln(1-c)|N\right)$$

• Saddle point (optimal cluster size)

$$N \approx \left(24\pi^2 \frac{f(\gamma)}{\gamma} \frac{t}{\ln(1-c)}\right)^{1/4}$$

• Stretched exponential decay à la Lifshitz

$$\Pi(t) \sim \exp\left[-\frac{4}{3}\left(24\pi^2 \frac{f(\gamma)}{\gamma} \left|\ln(1-c)\right|^3 t\right)^{1/4}\right]$$

A glimpse into the higher-dimensional situation

• Classical diffusing particles

Lifshitz (1964), Balagurov & Vaks (1974), Donsker and Varadhan (1975)

$$\Pi(t) \sim \exp\left[-(d+2)\left(\frac{\Omega^2}{4d^d}j^{2d}\left|\ln(1-c)\right|^2t^d\right)^{1/(d+2)}\right]$$
In $(d+2)$, 2 is dimension of Brownian trajectory
Dependence on parameters explicit and simple

• Quantum walkers

Parris, Edwards & Parris (1989), KLM (2014)

$$\Pi(t) \sim \exp\left[-(d+3)\left(\frac{\Omega^3}{27d^d}A^d \left|\ln(1-c)\right|^3 t^d\right)^{1/(d+3)}\right]$$
In $(d+2)$, 2 is pot dimension of ballistic traiseton

In (d+3), 3 is **not** dimension of ballistic trajectory Optimization on b.c. yields non-trivial A

III. Bound state dynamics

- Two identical (fermionic or bosonic) particles at sites $n_1 = n$ and $n_2 = n + m$
- Bound by confining potential W_m



$$i\frac{d\psi_{n,m}(t)}{dt} = \psi_{n,m-1}(t) + \psi_{n+1,m-1}(t) + \psi_{n-1,m+1}(t) + \psi_{n,m+1}(t) + W_m\psi_{n,m}(t)$$

• Basis of plane-wave solutions

$$\Psi_{n,m}(t) = \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(qn_{\mathrm{com}}-\omega t)}\phi_m$$

• Internal wavefunction ϕ_m is **dispersive**

$$\omega \phi_m = 2\cos\frac{q}{2} \left(\phi_{m-1} + \phi_{m+1}\right) + W_m \phi_m$$

Depends on momentum q conjugate to $n_{com} = \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2}$ No separation of center-of-mass dynamics...

Hard-wall potential

$$W_m = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } |m| \le L \\ +\infty & \text{for } |m| > L \end{cases}$$

- Relative co-ordinate takes 2L+1 values: $m = -L, \ldots, L$
- Dispersion relation $\omega(p,q) = 4\cos p\cos \frac{q}{2}$
- Quantization of internal momentum p depends on statistics





L = 6

In the time domain

Each dispersive branch yields a ballistic peak

$$V_k^{(B)} = 2\cos\frac{(k+\frac{1}{2})\pi}{L+1}, \qquad V_k^{(F)} = 2\cos\frac{k\pi}{L+1}$$

Example: L = 4, 2 fermions launched from sites 0 and 1



Power-law potential

$$W_m = g \left| m \right|^{\alpha}$$

• The lowest bands are the most dispersive

$$\alpha = 1, \ g = 0.4$$



- Spreading (maximal) velocities $V^{(B)}$ and $V^{(F)}$ correspond to lowest band in each sector
- Scaling in weak-potential regime $(g \rightarrow 0)$

 $V^{({\rm B})} pprox 2 - C^{({\rm B})} g^{2/(3+lpha)}, \qquad V^{({\rm F})} pprox 2 - C^{({\rm F})} g^{2/(3+lpha)}$

Amplitudes $C^{(B)}$ and $C^{(F)}$ universal (depend only on α)

To sum up...

- Quantum walks are among the simplest of all quantum dynamical systems
- Many situations can be studied, often by analytical means
- Quite a few surprising quantum features without classical analogues
 - I. Form of the wavefunction

Ballistic peaks. Bifractality

II. Effect of absorption

Paradoxical transparency as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$

Fluctuating N^3 scaling of decay rate. Non-trivial Lifshitz law

III. Bound-state dynamics

No separation of center-of-mass dynamics

Many internal ballistic peaks besides two extremal ones

References

• P.L. Krapivsky and J.M. Luck, *Dynamics of a quantum particle in low-dimensional disordered systems with extended states*, J. Stat. Mech. P02031 (2011) [arXiv:1101.2101].

• P.L. Krapivsky, J.M. Luck and K. Mallick, *Survival of classical and quantum particles in the presence of traps*, J. Stat. Phys. **154**, 1430–1460 (2014) [arXiv:1311.6298].

• P.L. Krapivsky, J.M. Luck and K. Mallick, *Interacting quantum walkers: Two-body bosonic and fermionic bound states*, J. Phys. A **48**, 475301 (2015) [arXiv:1507.01363].

• P.L. Krapivsky, J.M. Luck and K. Mallick, *Quantum centipedes: collective dynamics of interacting quantum walkers*, J. Phys. A **49**, 335303 (2016) [arXiv:1603.04601].

• P.L. Krapivsky, J.M. Luck and K. Mallick, *Quantum return probability of a system of N non-interacting lattice fermions*, J. Stat. Mech. 023104 (2018) [arXiv:1710.08178].